

13 August 2010
D. Arinkin

Towards categorical Langlands correspondence

Joint w/ Braverman,

C = compact Riemann surface

G = complex reductive group

$Bun(G) = \{ \text{principal } G\text{-bundles}$
on C

$L_G = \text{Langlands dual}$

$\text{LocSys}({}^L G) = \{ {}^L G\text{-local systems}$
principal bundles
with connection
on C

Categorical Langlands conjecture?

(derived category of)
 D -modules on $Bun(G)$
(quasi-coherent sheaves
w/ flat connection)

\cong

quasi-coherent
 \mathcal{O} -modules
on
 $\text{LocSys}({}^L G)$
(derived category)

(1) $G = GL(2)$

$Bun(G) \rightarrow$ Cuspidal D -mods
 $Bun(G) \rightarrow$ Eisenstein Series

irreducible
reducible
 $\hookrightarrow \text{LocSys}({}^L G)$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 L_f = G = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = GL(2) \\
 L_B = B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \\
 L_T = T = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \\
 \text{D-modules} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Q-modules} \\
 \text{Bun}(T) = (\text{Pic}(C))^2 \xrightarrow{\text{fun}} \overbrace{\text{LocSys}({}^L T)}^{?} \\
 \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \\
 \text{Bun}(B) \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{LocSys}({}^L B) \\
 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \pi \\
 \text{Bun}(G) \xrightarrow{\text{fun}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{LocSys}({}^L G)
 \end{array}$$

(2) X = algebraic variety (e.g. $X = \text{LocSys}({}^L F)$)

$\mathcal{QCoh}(X) = \{ \text{quasi coherent } \mathcal{O}\text{-modules on } X \}$

$\mathcal{Coh}(X) = \{ \text{coherent } \mathcal{O}\text{-modules on } X \}$

Def $F \in \mathcal{Coh}(X)$ is perfect if it has a finite resolution by vector bundles $(0 \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0)$
 (compact objects in $\mathcal{QCoh}(X)$)

Thm If X is smooth, perfect = coherent.

If X is singular, $\text{Perf}(X) \subsetneq \mathcal{Coh}(X)$

(3)

Problem: $R\pi_*$ $QCoh(LocSys({}^L\mathcal{B})) \downarrow QCoh(LocSys({}^L\mathcal{C}))$ preserves Coh but not Perf.

Def C is lumpt if $\mathrm{Hom}(C, \oplus^*) = \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(C, \otimes)$

(3) $X = \{f_1 = \dots = f_n = 0\} \subset S = \text{smooth}$

Koszul duality $Coh(X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}} LG \text{ on } S \times \mathbb{C}_{(t_1, \dots, t_n)}^n$
for potential \mathcal{I}_{fit}

F is on $X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(F)$ on S and extra variables

$(Coh(X)/\text{Perf}(X))$



Given $F \in Coh(X)$ can consider $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{K}(F)) \subset S \times \mathbb{C}^n$
(has to be defined)

Fact F is perfect $\iff \mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{K}(F)) \subset S \times \{0\}$

(4)

Now example

$$X = \text{LocSys}({}^L\mathbb{G})$$

$C \in C$, frame all local systems at C

\cap
 $S = \text{local system on } C \text{ with a first order pole at } C \in C$

$$X = \left\{ f_1 = \dots = f_n = 0 \right\}$$

residue $\in g$
 ||
 0

$$(n = \dim {}^L\mathbb{G})$$

$$\text{Supp}(K(F)) \subset S \times g$$

Exercise Actually, $\text{Supp } K(F) \subset \{(E, \varphi) \mid E \in \text{LocSys}({}^L\mathbb{G}) \subseteq X, \varphi \text{ is a nilpotent automorphism}\}$

Definition $\mathcal{W} = \{(E, \varphi) \mid \varphi \text{ is nilpotent}\}$

$$\text{Coh}_{\mathcal{W}}(\text{LocSys}({}^L\mathbb{G})) = \{F \mid K(F) \subset \mathcal{W}\}$$

"Corrected" version

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Compact D-modules} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \text{Coh}_{\mathcal{W}}(\text{LocSys}({}^L\mathbb{G})) \\ \text{on } \text{Bun}(F) & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{D-modules on } \text{Bun}_F & \xleftarrow{\sim} & Q(\text{Coh}_{\mathcal{W}}(\text{LocSys}({}^L\mathbb{G}))) \\ \text{Then (for } F(2)\text{), coh}_{\mathcal{W}} \text{ is generated by Perf}(\text{LocSys}({}^L\mathbb{G})) \text{ and Perf}(\text{LocSys}({}^L\mathbb{T})) \end{array}$$