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## Rigidity transition

- Many systems have a rigidity transition at a finite volume fraction $\phi_{c}$, when the elastic moduli become non-zero
- At least in some cases, the same point is reached with controlled pressure P as $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow 0$ or (bond stiffness) $\mu \rightarrow \infty$
- Example: emulsion experiments


[ Mason et al., PRL 1995 ]



Truncated Hookean/ Hertzian contacts in $\mathrm{d}=2,3$ (minimisation algorithm)
[ O’Hern et al., PRE 2003 ]

## Frictionless and frictional granular media ( $\mathrm{g}=0$ )

[Aharonov \& Sparks, PRE 1999 ]

(b) Frictional Pile


[ Kasahara \& Nakanishi, J.Phys.Soc.J. 2004 ]

## Rigidity percolation

- Disordered lattices constructed by bond dilution
- Transport of vector quantity (force)
- Exhibits rigidity percolation when a rigid cluster first spans the system
- e.g. $d=2$ Hookean springs: $z_{c}=3.96 \mathrm{I}(2), D_{f}=$ $1.86(2), D_{b}=1.80(3)$ [Jacobs\&Thorpe, PRL 1995 ]
- Typically start from unstressed networks, although prestresses are important [Alexander, Phys. Rep. 1998]

[ C. Moukarzel et al., PRL 1997 ]
(Black - stressed; green - rigid but unstressed; red - 'cutting')
- Dynamics-inspired dilution rules have been devised [Thorpe et al., J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 2000; Schwarz et al., condmat/04I0595 ]


## Approximation schemes

## Effective medium approximation (EMA)

[S. Feng et al., PRB 1985]

Disordered lattice, known stiffness $\mu$


Homogeneous lattice, unknown effective stiffness $\mu^{\text {eff }}$

Rigidity transition at $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{c}}=2 \mathrm{~d}$ :


## Affine deformation

[K.Walton, J. Mech. Phys. Solids I987; H. Makse et al., PRL 1999]

Impose microscopic displacement field


No transition at finite volume fraction $\phi$

More complex theories reduce $G$; still no clear transition
[F. Trentadue, Int. J. Sol. Struct. 200I;
N. P. Kruyt et al., Int. J. Eng. Sci. 1998]

## Maxwell counting

- A cluster is rigid when any non-trivial deformation mode increases the elastic energy, i.e. the elastic moduli are non-zero
- A system at the onset of rigidity can be called e.g. isostatic, marginally rigid or at the rigidity percolation threshold.
- Can determine via constraint counting; in its simplest form :

| Contact forces are the <br> degrees of freedom | d.o.f. | Force/torque <br> balance | $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particle position/ <br> orientation are the d.o.f. | Geometric <br> constraints | d.o.f. | $\mathbf{z}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| Frictionless spheres | $\frac{N z}{2} \cdot 1$ | $N \cdot d$ | $\mathbf{2 d}$ |
| Frictionless non-spheres | $\frac{N z}{2} \cdot 1$ | $N \cdot \frac{1}{2} d(d+1)$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{I})$ |
| Friction (any convex shape) | $\frac{N z}{2} \cdot d$ | $N \cdot \frac{1}{2} d(d+1)$ | $\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{I}$ |

- Constraint counting is not exact
- "Rattlers" or other independent subsystems should not be counted
- Rigid body translation/rotation of the entire cluster should be subtracted off
- ...?
- Frictionless sphere systems appear to agree with the predicted value if the two corrections above are included
[A. Donev et al., cond-mat/0408550;
C. O'Hern et al., PRE 68, 0 I I 306 (2003) ]
- Not clear if extra corrections are required for transverse forces
- Only considers mechanical equilibrium (i.e. force/torque balance); says nothing about mechanical stability


## ii. Statics: the MMA

- Determination of mechanical stability by an approximation scheme (the 'mean mode approximation', or MMA) which:
- Requires no mapping to analogous system with known Green's function
- Can incorporate prestress
- Has a finite z transition

Start from a static configuration $\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\beta}\right\}$ of soft spheres $\beta$ with contact forces

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{f}^{\beta \gamma} & =f\left(r^{\beta \gamma}\right) \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\beta \gamma} \\
f(r) & = \begin{cases}\mu\left(1-\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{\alpha} & : r<r_{0} \\
0 & : \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$



Apply a small external force $\delta f^{\text {ext }}$ to $\alpha$


Ensemble average over configurations with macroscopic quantities fixed; force balance on $\alpha$ :

$$
\delta \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{ext}}-\left\langle\sum_{\beta \sim \alpha} \delta \mathbf{f}^{\alpha \beta}\right\rangle=0
$$

$$
\delta f_{i}^{\alpha \beta}=A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}\left(\delta x_{j}^{\beta}-\delta x_{j}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

with

$$
A_{i j}=\frac{f(r)}{r}\left(\delta_{i j}-\hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{j}\right)+f^{\prime}(r) \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{j}
$$

(sum over all $\beta$ in contact with $\alpha$ )
[Tanguy et al., PRB 2002 ]

## Mean mode approximation

- Mean response of $\alpha$ follows from symmetry,

$$
\left\langle\delta \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\lambda \delta \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{ext}}
$$

- Impose this form before averaging
- Further impose an intuitive form on the $\beta$ :


$$
\delta \mathbf{x}^{\beta}=\lambda \delta \mathbf{f}^{\alpha \beta}
$$

- Can now determine each contact force from the external force: $\delta f_{i}^{\alpha \beta}=S_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} \delta f_{j}^{\text {ext }}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}= & {\left[1+\left(\lambda\left|f^{\prime}\left(r^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \hat{n}_{i}^{\alpha \beta} \hat{n}_{j}^{\alpha \beta} } \\
& +\left[1-\left(\frac{\lambda f\left(r^{\alpha \beta}\right)}{r^{\alpha \beta}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1}\left(\delta_{i j}-\hat{n}_{i}^{\alpha \beta} \hat{n}_{j}^{\alpha \beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- To perform final averaging, make simplest choices:
- $z$ independent of $f$
- contact angles uniformly, independently distributed
- Monodisperse overlaps, so all f, f' equal


Can now solve for $\lambda$,
$G, K \sim \lambda^{-1}$

$$
\omega=\frac{f(r) / r}{\left|f^{\prime}(r)\right|} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1-\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)
$$

Boundary is locally quadratic:

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{bdy}} \approx \frac{\left(z-z_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}}{4 d^{2}(d-1)}
$$

$$
G_{\mathrm{bdy}}, K_{\mathrm{bdy}} \sim \lambda_{\mathrm{bdy}}^{-1} \sim\left(z-z_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left|f^{\prime}\right|=(z / d-1)^{-1}, \\
& G, K \sim\left(z / z_{\mathrm{c}}-1\right)^{f}, \\
& \mathrm{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lattice models under tension also show an extended stable regime; cf. [ Tang\&Thorpe, PRB I988; Zhou et al., PRE 2003 ]


## iii. Dynamics

- One-particle description of dynamical phase as the excited system relaxes
- Overdamped motion (kinetic energy is ignored)
- Spontaneous evolution cease when a stable regime has been reached (coupling to statics)


## Energy potential

- Suitable energy potential depends on whether volume $V$ or pressure $P$ is being controlled (overdamped limit):


## ConstantV

## Constant $P$

Enthalpy $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{PV}$

- Using same simplifications as for the statics,

$$
U=\frac{N z}{2} \frac{r_{0} \mu}{\alpha+1}(\alpha \omega)^{\alpha+1} \quad P V=\frac{N z}{2} \frac{r_{0} \mu}{d}(\alpha \omega)^{\alpha} \quad\left(\alpha \omega \sim 1-r / r_{0}\right)
$$

- Simple choice for $V$ : decreasing function of $z$ and $\omega$ that obeys

$$
\frac{V_{, z}}{V_{, \omega}}=D \omega^{b} \quad \text { as } \quad \omega \rightarrow 0
$$

so that $V$ barely changes with $z$ when particles are 'just' overlapping


Red lines : constant volume (minimising the internal energy U ) Blue lines : constant pressure P (minimising the enthalpy $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{PV}$ )

## Exponents

| Model | $G, K \sim \Delta z^{c}$ | $P \sim \Delta z^{e}$ | $\Delta V \sim \Delta z^{g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MMA |  |  |  |
| $\alpha>0, d \geq 2$ | $2 \alpha-1$ | $2 \alpha$ | 2 |
| Wet foam $[9]$ |  |  |  |
| $\alpha=1, d=2$ | $\approx 1^{a}$ | $2 \pm 0.4$ | $2 \pm 0.4$ |
| O'Hern et al. $[29]$ |  |  |  |
| $\alpha=1, d=2,3$ | $1.01 \pm 0.1^{a}$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ | $2.04 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\alpha=3 / 2, d=2,3$ | $2.08 \pm 0.1^{a}$ | $3.15 \pm 0.3$ | $2.08 \pm 0.1$ |
| Zhang et al. $[12]$ |  |  |  |
| $\alpha=1.28, d=3$ | - | $\approx 2.45$ | $\approx 1.96$ |
| Makse et al. $[32]^{b}$ |  |  |  |
| $\alpha=3 / 2, d=3$ | - | $3.3 \pm 0.5$ | $2.1 \pm 0.6$ |

${ }^{a}$ Result for shear modulus shown.
${ }^{b}$ Only frictionless data shown.
[ c.f. Schwarz et al., cond-mat/04/0595 for a scalar lattice treatment ]

## Prospects

- Still many issues:
- Evolution after the first arrest by e.g. shaking/tapping
- Taking the dynamics away from the overdamped limit
- Not yet a model for granular media
- No friction or particle asphericity
- No gravity, or any form of anisotropy (including shear)


## Distributed contact forces

- Distribution of overlaps $\mathrm{P}(\delta), \delta=r_{0}-r$
- Assume system approaches a (stressless) rigidity transition
- Make the following ansatzes for $\varepsilon=\left(z-z_{\mathrm{c}}\right) / z_{\mathrm{c}}>0$
- $\lambda$ vanishes near transition as $\lambda=\lambda_{0} \varepsilon^{-\nu}$
- Distribution scales uniformly, $P(\delta)=\varepsilon^{-\gamma} q\left(\varepsilon^{-\gamma} \delta\right)$
- Perform integration to get $1-\frac{d}{z}=(d-1) \frac{\lambda_{0} \mu}{r_{0}} \varepsilon^{-\nu+\alpha \gamma}\left\langle x^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{q(x)}$

$$
+\frac{1}{\lambda_{0} \mu \alpha} \varepsilon^{\nu-\gamma(\alpha-1)}\left\langle x^{1-\alpha}\right\rangle_{q(x)}
$$

- Stability boundary corresponds to $\lambda_{0}$ real
- Self-consistency demands get $\gamma=2, v=2 \alpha-I$ as in the monodisperse case


## Equation for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$
d\left(\frac{1}{z}-1\right)=(d-1) \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda f(r)}{r}-1}-\frac{1}{1+\lambda\left|f^{\prime}\right|}
$$

- d:dimension
- z:mean coordination number
- $\lambda$ : compliance
- $r$ :interparticle separation
- $f(r)$ : interparticle potential
- $f^{\prime}(r)<0$ assumed


## Pressure scaling

$$
\frac{P}{\mu} \sim \frac{N r_{0}}{2 V_{0}}\left\{\frac{\alpha\left(z-z_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}}{4 d^{2}(d-1)}\right\}^{\alpha}
$$

- $\mu$ : contact stiffness (units of force)
- $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ : volume at transition
- N : Number of particles

