

Dynamics of random Heisenberg model (mostly from real space RG)

Ivar Martin



With: Kartiek Agarwal, Eugene Demler

Phys. Rev. B 92, 184203 (2015)

Nov 2015. KITP: MBL





Outline

Motivation

- Random bond Heisenberg
 - Noise
 - Connection to MBL, and its absence
- Heisenberg + random h
 - Bracketing the transition

Flux noise in qubits

Shows MBL trans.

Model 1: Random Bond Heisenberg

$$H = \sum_{i} J_{i} \vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1}$$
$$P(|J|)$$

Some Ferro, some Anti-Ferro bonds : say 50/50.

$$\vec{M}(t) = \sum_{i} g(r_i) \vec{S}(r_i)$$

Noise :
$$S(t) = \langle [M(t), M(0)]_+ \rangle$$

How do we compute the dynamics of this complicated object?

RSRG treatment of the problem



Near T = 0, Thermodynamics: Dasgupta-Ma-Hu (1979), R.Bhatt and P. Lee (1982), D. Fisher (1995), Westerbrg et al (1996), Motrunich et al (2001) Excited states: Vosk-Altman (2012), Pekker et al (2014), Potter et al (2015)

RG procedure for Noise

$$\vec{M}(t) = \sum_{i} g(r_i) \vec{S}(r_i)$$

Noise :

$$S(t) = \langle [M(t), M(0)]_+ \rangle$$

Examples:

 $S(q, \omega)$: $g(r_i) = cos(qr_i)$ Magnetic field at R: $q(r_i) = 1/|\vec{R} - \vec{r_i}|^3$

 $(g_A + g_B)/2 + (g_A - g_B)/2 \left| \left| \vec{S}_A \right|^2 - \left| \vec{S}_B \right|^2 \right| / \left| \vec{S}_+ \right|^2$

Agarwal, Demler, Martin: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.00643.pdf, PRB (2015)

 $g_{+} =$

Quantum Noise calculation

$$M(t) = M_{S}(t) + M_{F}(t)$$

$$M_{S}(t) = \sum_{i \neq A, B} g_{i}\vec{S}_{i} + g_{+}\vec{S}_{+}$$

$$M_{F}(t) = (g_{A} - g_{B})/2 \left[\vec{S}_{-} - \vec{S}_{+}(\vec{S}_{-} \cdot \vec{S}_{+})/|\vec{S}_{+}|^{2}\right]$$

Magnitude

$$\left|\vec{S}_{-}-\vec{S}_{-}\cdot\vec{S}_{+}\cdot\vec{S}_{+}\frac{\vec{S}_{+}}{|\vec{S}_{+}|^{2}}\right|^{2} = 2s_{1}(s_{1}+1)+2s_{2}(s_{2}+1)-s(s+1)-\frac{(s_{1}(s_{1}+1)-s_{2}(s_{2}+1))^{2}}{s(s+1)}$$

Frequency $\omega = J |\vec{S}_+|$ $\omega(s) = Js$

RG comparison with Exact Diag. - disordered ¹/₂ Ferro, ¹/₂ Anti-Ferro



Cf X-RSRG of Pekker at al (2014) for Ising

RG comparison with ED in 2D, 3x3





The structure factor (harmonic probe)
$$S_q(\omega)$$

 $g_q = \cos(\vec{q}.\vec{r})$ generic probe : $N(\omega) = \sum_q g_q^2 S_q(\omega)$

Piece-wise power-law form of the structure factor at zero/infinite temperature.



Why two power-law form?



The Structure factor (harmonic probe): $S_q(\omega)$



$$S_{q}(\omega) \underset{T=0}{=} q^{1/2-1/\beta} g_{0} \left(\frac{\omega}{q^{1/\beta}}\right) = \begin{cases} 1/\omega^{1-\beta/2} & \omega \ll q^{1/\beta} \\ q^{2}/\omega^{1+3\beta/2} & \omega \gg q^{1/\beta} \end{cases}$$
$$S_{q}(\omega) \underset{T=\infty}{=} q^{-1/\beta} g_{\infty} \left(\frac{\omega}{q^{1/\beta}}\right) = \begin{cases} 1/q\omega^{1-\beta} & \omega \ll q^{1/\beta} \\ q^{2}/\omega^{1+2\beta} & \omega \gg q^{1/\beta} \end{cases}$$

Understanding the form of $S_q(\omega)$

- Numerical : True scaling collapse over 10 orders of magnitude in frequency and noise magnitude.
- Analytical (Specific) at T=0, T=∞ :

$$P_{F(AF)}(\Delta, S_L, S_R) \sim \frac{1}{\Delta_0^{1-\beta}} Q_{F(AF)} \left(\Delta \Delta_0^{-1}, S_L \Delta^{-\beta/2}, S_R \Delta^{-\beta/2} \right)$$

We sterberg et al. 1997

■ Analytical (Generalized Diffusion) at T=∞ :

$$G_q(\omega) = 1/[-i\omega + Dq^2] \longrightarrow G_q(\omega) = 1/[-i\omega + q^{1/\beta}f(\omega/q^{1/\beta})]$$

For details: Agarwal, Demler, Martin: <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.00643.pdf</u>, PRB (2015)

•

1/f noise and MBL?



Decay of correlations = Fourier transform of Noise Spectrum

$$S_q(f) = 1/f^{\alpha} \Rightarrow 1/t^{1-\alpha}$$

 $1/f \to MBL$

No MBL in isotropic Heisenberg.



Nested Nonlocal Integrals of Motion $|\mathbf{S}_+|$. Cf τ_z of Huse, Vadim, Rahul

Ways out

- Ising anisotropy?
- dipole-dipole interactions?
- random field? Pal and Huse 2010



Model 2: Heisenberg with random field

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} [h_i \hat{S}_i^z + J \hat{\vec{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\vec{S}}_{i+1}] \qquad h_i \in [-h, h]$$

- RG:
 - Eliminate fastest frequency (strongest bond, largest h, ...)
- Under RG generate new terms

$$H = \sum_{i} h_i S_i^z + \underbrace{b_i (S_i^z)^2}_{\langle ij \rangle} + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + J_{ij}^{\perp} \left(S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y \right)$$

Results - spin conductivity (preliminary)

- Run RG till only two spins remain
- Calculate spin conductivity, using Larkin-Matveev 1987 (measure of residual spin coupling)

$$\sigma = \frac{4e^2}{\pi\hbar} \frac{\Gamma_l \Gamma_r |t|^2}{\left|(\epsilon - \epsilon_l + i\Gamma_l)(\epsilon - \epsilon_r + i\Gamma_r) - |t|^2\right|^2} \quad \Gamma_l, \Gamma_r \to 0$$

XY(Aderson) vs Heisnberg



RSRG fails on the conducting side (small h). Alternatives?

- Problem with RG: At T = ∞ and small h, individual spins are busy interacting with each other and don't "see" h. → Cannot apply RSRG
- What if we replace them with classical spins?

Inspiration: Quantum vs Classical RG at T = ∞





Mat



Classical spin chain: diffusion to anomalous diffusion to spin-glass? $\tilde{S}_q(\tilde{\omega})$ $\tilde{S}_q(\tilde{\omega})$ $\sim 1/\omega^{0.5}$ 10^{0} $\sim 1/\omega^2$ 10^{0} $10^{-8} \begin{vmatrix} J = 10^{-2} \\ q = \pi/25 \text{ to } \pi/2.5 \end{vmatrix}$ $10^{-8} \begin{vmatrix} J = 10^{-2} \\ q = \pi/25 \text{ to } \pi/2.5 \end{vmatrix}$ 10^{-6} 10^{-6} 10^{-2} $h_{\rm max} = 1J$ $h_{\rm max} = 2J$

Attempted scaling collapse at hmax = 1 At large frequencies, large q, microscopic scales destroy the collapse a little. Scaling collapse according to the anomalous diffusion form. The power-laws agree well

Classical spin chain: diffusion to anomalous diffusion to spin-glass?



quantum spin-1/2s. $J = 10^{-2}$ was used.

XXZ model with Random Magnetic Fields



Summary

- RG to Random Heisenberg dynamics
 - 1/f noise spectra
 - From Numerics
 - From Generalized diffusion ansatz (T = inf)
 - From master equation
 - Violation of linear response at T = 0
 - Quasi 1D systems, connection to flux noise in qubits
 - Connection to MBL
- Heisenberg with random fields
 - MBL side RSRG, quantum spins
 - (Anomalous) Diffusion Equations of motion, classical spins
- MBL transition = Quantum to Classical transition?

Materials Science Division. March Meeting 2015. San Antonio