### **Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases**

**Michael Stone** 

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases -

# Collaborators

- Eddy Ardonne (now at KITP)
- Rinat Kedem (UIUC Mathematics)
- S-B Chung (UIUC)
- Rahul Roy (UIUC)

With thanks to Eduardo Fradkin, Paul Goldbart, Tony Leggett and Smitha Vishveshwara.

# **Outline of Talk**

- Pfaffian wave functions
- $p_x + ip_y$  superconductor: vortices and Majorana edge states
- Hall droplet edge states, group theory, and bosonization
- generalized Pfaffians: k-clustering
- Bose gas representations of  $su(2)_k$
- $su(n)_k \Rightarrow q$ -refinement of fusion rules

- •
- •

#### **Pfaffian wave functions**

$$Pf\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2^{N}N!} \epsilon_{i_{1}\cdots i_{2N}} A_{i_{1}i_{2}} \cdots A_{i_{2N-1}i_{2N}}$$
$$(Pf\mathbf{A})^{2} = \det \mathbf{A}$$

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases – p.

$$PfA = \frac{1}{2^{N}N!} \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_{2N}} A_{i_1 i_2} \cdots A_{i_{2N-1} i_{2N}}$$

$$\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_{2N}) = \Pr\left(\frac{1}{z_i-z_j}\right) \prod_{i< j} (z_i-z_j)^n$$

$$PfA = \frac{1}{2^{N}N!} \epsilon_{i_{1}\cdots i_{2N}} A_{i_{1}i_{2}} \cdots A_{i_{2N-1}i_{2N}}$$

$$\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_{2N}) = \Pr\left(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j}\right) \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^2$$

 $\nu = 1/2$  quantum Hall state

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases - p

$$PfA = \frac{1}{2^{N}N!} \epsilon_{i_{1}\cdots i_{2N}} A_{i_{1}i_{2}} \cdots A_{i_{2N-1}i_{2N}}$$

$$\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_{2N}) = \Pr\left(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j}\right) \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{\mathbf{1}}$$

rotating Bose gas QH-like state

$$PfA = \frac{1}{2^{N}N!} \epsilon_{i_{1}\cdots i_{2N}} A_{i_{1}i_{2}} \cdots A_{i_{2N-1}i_{2N}}$$

$$\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_{2N}) = \Pr\left(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j}\right)$$

planar  $p_x + ip_y$  superconductor

# $p_x + ip_y$ superconductor: vortices and Majorana edge states

#### $p_x + ip_y$ superconductors

 $\langle \psi_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta} \rangle = \operatorname{spin} \times \operatorname{orbit}$ spin =  $\{(-i\sigma_2)(\mathbf{d} \cdot \sigma)\}_{\alpha\beta}$ triplet orbit  $\propto (\hat{p}_x + i\hat{p}_y)$ *p*-wave If  $d = e_y$  then  $\left| \langle \psi_{lpha} \psi_{eta} 
ight
angle \propto \delta_{lphaeta} \left( \hat{p}_x + i \hat{p}_y 
ight)$  $\Rightarrow$  up/down spins decoupled



Spin-triplet  $p_x + ip_y$  SC has chiral Majorana edgemode.



Spin-triplet  $p_x + ip_y$  SC has chiral Majorana edge-mode.

• Why chiral?



Spin-triplet  $p_x + ip_y$  SC has chiral Majorana edgemode.

- Why chiral?
   O
  - Cooper pair has  $l = \hbar$

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases - p



Spin-triplet  $p_x + ip_y$  SC has chiral Majorana edgemode.

- Why chiral?
- Cooper pair has  $l = \hbar$
- $\Rightarrow$  Andreev reflection offset  $k_{\text{Fermi}}a = \hbar$ .



Spin-triplet  $p_x + ip_y$  SC has chiral Majorana edgemode.

- Why chiral?
- Cooper pair has  $l = \hbar$
- $\Rightarrow$  Andreev reflection offset  $k_{\text{Fermi}}a = \hbar$ .
- $\Rightarrow$  one-way edge creep

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases - p

# Why Majorana?

#### S-wave, S=0, superconductor

$$b_{\uparrow,k} = a_{\uparrow,k} + a_{\downarrow,-k}^{\dagger}$$

$$b_{\uparrow,k} = b_{\downarrow,-k}^{\dagger}$$

#### distinct anti-particle $\Rightarrow$ not Majorana

# Why Majorana?

#### P-wave, S=1, superconductor

$$b_{\uparrow,k} = a_{\uparrow,k} + a_{\uparrow,-k}^{\dagger}$$

$$b_{\uparrow,k} = b_{\uparrow,-k}^{\dagger}$$

#### own anti-particle $\Rightarrow$ Majorana



#### Andreev bound state

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases – p.1



Andreev bound state

Andreev reflection not quite retro-reflective



Andreev bound state
Andreev reflection not quite retro-reflective
⇒ backward creep



Andreev bound state • Andreev reflection not *quite* retro-reflective •  $\Rightarrow$  backward creep •  $\Rightarrow \varepsilon_l = -\omega_0(l + \alpha)$ 



Andreev bound state • Andreev reflection not *quite* retro-reflective •  $\Rightarrow$  backward creep •  $\Rightarrow \varepsilon_l = -\omega_0(l + \alpha)$ •  $\alpha$ ?

#### **Core spectrum**

# Vortex-core bound-state spectrum always has $\varepsilon \rightarrow -\varepsilon$ BdG symmetry $\Rightarrow \alpha = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ .



#### S-wave bound states $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ no zero mode

#### **Core spectrum**

# Vortex-core bound-state spectrum always has $\varepsilon \rightarrow -\varepsilon$ BdG symmetry $\Rightarrow \alpha = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ .



#### *P*-wave bound states $\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow$ exact zero mode

The exact zero-mode core states must come in pairs, and are responsible for:

The exact zero-mode core states must come in pairs, and are responsible for:

 Changing the BC's of the edge-mode from antiperiodic (no edge zero mode) to periodic (edge zero mode)

The exact zero-mode core states must come in pairs, and are responsible for:

 Changing the BC's of the edge-mode from antiperiodic (no edge zero mode) to periodic (edge zero mode)

non-Abelian statistics (Ivanov, Stern et al.)

The exact zero-mode core states must come in pairs, and are responsible for:

- Changing the BC's of the edge-mode from antiperiodic (no edge zero mode) to periodic (edge zero mode)
- non-Abelian statistics (Ivanov, Stern et al.)
- The Ising-like fusion rules:

$$\begin{split} \psi \times \psi &= \mathbb{I}, \\ \sigma \times \sigma &= \mathbb{I} + \psi, \quad \psi \times \sigma = \sigma \end{split}$$

Analogous features will occur in all wave functions in the Pfaffian family, and to their natural *k*-clustered generalization.

#### Hall droplet edge states, group theory, and bosonization

# integer Hall edge



### edge states and Schur functions



 $S_n(z) = z_1^n + z_2^n + \dots + z_N^n$  (Girard 1629, Isaac Newton 1666)  $\Psi_\lambda(z) = \psi_\lambda(z)/\psi_0(z)$  (Cauchy 1815, Issai Schur 1901)

# **bosonization identity**



$$S_1^{l_1}S_2^{l_2}\dots S_N^{l_N} = \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{(l)} \Psi_{\lambda}(z)$$

#### G. Frobenius 1903

# generalized Pfaffians: k-clustering

# local to global via k-clustering

Read and Rezayi introduced a family of generalized Pfaffian states

 $\psi(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N)$ 

with the property that  $\psi(z) = 0$  if any *k*+1  $z_i$ 's coincide. We will show that (for bosons at least) these states possess an su(2) current-algebra symmetry. Therefore the local *k*-clustering property lead to global topological order and to quasiparticles with non-abelian statistics.

# **Bose gas representations of** $su(2)_k$

#### We will study *k*-clustered symmetric polynomials, and show that they can be identified with states in representations of the affine Lie algebra $su(2)_k$ .

### affine Lie algebra

Finite su(2) algebra e, f and h such that:

$$[h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f, \quad [e, f] = h.$$

This is a mathematician's Chevalley basis. Physicists usually set

$$e \to J_+, \quad f \to J_-, \quad h \to 2J_3$$

### affine Lie algebra

Affine  $su(2)_k$  algebra  $e_n, f_n, h_n, \hat{k}, \hat{d}$  such that:

$$\begin{split} & [e_m, e_n] = [f_m, f_n] = 0 \\ & [e_m, \hat{k}] = [f_m, \hat{k}] = [h_m, \hat{k}] = [\hat{d}, \hat{k}] = 0 \\ & [h_m, e_n] = 2e_{m+n}, \quad [h_n, f_m] = -2f_{m+n}, \\ & [e_m, f_n] = h_{m+n} + m\hat{k}\delta_{n+m,0}, \quad [h_m, h_n] = 2m\hat{k}\delta_{m+n}, \\ & [\hat{d}, e_n] = ne_n, \quad [\hat{d}, f_n] = nf_n, \quad [\hat{d}, h_n] = nh_n. \end{split}$$

### representations and weights

Can have simultaneous eigenstates

$$\hat{k}|m,\lambda,i\rangle = k|m,\lambda,i\rangle, \hat{d}|m,\lambda,i\rangle = m|m,\lambda,i\rangle, h_0|m,\lambda,i\rangle = \lambda|m,\lambda,i\rangle.$$

- k, m and  $\lambda$  are integers known as weights.
- positive integer k is the level of representation
- label "i" distinguishes between states with same m and  $\lambda$ .

# highest weight

A highest weight state is a  $|\mathbf{v}_0\rangle$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n |\mathbf{v}_0\rangle &= h_n |\mathbf{v}_0\rangle = 0 \quad n > 0, \\ e_n |\mathbf{v}_0\rangle &= 0, \quad n \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

One highest weight with

$$h_0 |\mathbf{v}_0\rangle = l |\mathbf{v}_0\rangle, \quad \hat{d} |\mathbf{v}_0\rangle = 0$$

in each representation.

Use k and l to label representations

# k = 2, l = 0



Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases – p.2

۲

# k = 2, l = 1



### k = 2, l = 2



# making k-clustered wavefunctions

$$F_{|\mathbf{v}\rangle}(z) \equiv \langle \mathbf{v} | e(z_1) e(z_2) \dots e(z_p) | \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$$

$$e(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e_n z^{-n-1}$$

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases – p.2

# making k-clustered wavefunctions

$$F_{|\mathbf{v}\rangle}(z) \equiv \langle \mathbf{v} | e(z_1) e(z_2) \dots e(z_p) | \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$$

$$e(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e_n z^{-n-1}$$

Properties of e(z):

• [e(z), e(z')] = 0

• 
$$[e(z)]^{k+1} = 0$$

• 
$$(e_{-1})^{k+1-l} |\mathbf{v}_0\rangle = 0$$

# making k-clustered wavefunctions

$$F_{|\mathbf{v}\rangle}(z) \equiv \langle \mathbf{v} | e(z_1) e(z_2) \dots e(z_p) | \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$$

$$e(z) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} e_n z^{-n-1}$$

Properties of  $F_{|\mathbf{v}\rangle}(z)$ :

- F is a symmetric polynomial
- F is zero if any k + 1 z's coincide

- F is zero if any k + 1 - l z's become zero

# counting polynomials



mult(p,d) = number of F's of degree d in p variables

$$M_{ij} = \min(i, j)$$
  

$$\mathbf{m}^{t} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$$
  

$$(q)_m = (1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^m)$$
  

$$\mathbf{d}^{t} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 2, \dots, l)$$

$$\sum_{d} \operatorname{mult}(p,d) q^{d} = q^{-p} \sum_{\text{partitions}} \frac{q^{\mathbf{m}^{t} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{d}^{t} \mathbf{m}}}{(q)_{m_{1}}(q)_{m_{2}} \dots (q)_{m_{k}}}$$

## k = 2, l = 2, reprise



# Filling the Bose sea

Connection with weights:

$$-m = \deg(F_{\mathbf{v}}) + p,$$
$$\lambda = l + 2p$$

Action of affine Weyl group leads to *k*-clustered ground state

 $|\mathbf{v}_{0}\rangle = (e_{0}^{l}e_{1}^{k-l})(e_{2}^{l}e_{3}^{k-l})\dots(e_{2N-2}^{l}e_{2N-1}^{k-l})|\mathbf{v}_{-N}\rangle$ and takes

#### characters: counting states

$$\operatorname{ch}_{W_{k,l}}(q,x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} x^{2p+l} \left\{ \sum_{N_1 + \dots + N_k = p} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \dots N_k^2 + N_{k-l+1} + N_{k-l+2} + \dots N_k}}{(q)_{N_1 - N_2}(q)_{N_2 - N_3} \dots (q)_{N_k}} \right\}$$

to

$$\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{N_1 \ge N_2 \ge \dots \ge N_k} \frac{x^{2N_1 + 2N_2 \dots + 2N_k + l} q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \dots N_k^2 + N_{k-l+1} + N_{k-l+2} + \dots N_k}}{(q)_{N_1 - N_2} (q)_{N_2 - N_3} \dots (q)_{N_{k-1} - N_k}}$$

where

$$N_1 = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k,$$

$$N_2 = m_2 + \dots + m_k,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$N_k = m_k$$

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases - p.3.

## $su(n)_k \Rightarrow q$ -refinement of fusion rules

- •
- •



# $su(n)_k$ and Kostka polynomials

Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases – p.3

# $su(n)_k$ and Kostka polynomials

#### function characters

$$\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{F}_{1,1,1;4}^{\infty} = \operatorname{ch} V_{1,1,1;4} + \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{ch} V_{0,0,2;4} + \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{ch} V_{2,0,0;4} + \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^2}\right) \operatorname{ch} V_{0,1,0;4}$$

# $|su(n)_k$ and Kostka polynomials

#### function characters

$$\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{F}_{1,1,1;4}^{\infty} = \operatorname{ch} V_{1,1,1;4} + \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{ch} V_{0,0,2;4} + \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{ch} V_{2,0,0;4} + \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^2}\right) \operatorname{ch} V_{0,1,0;4}$$



Edge versus Bulk in Non-Abelian Topological Phases – p.3

### conclusions

- have better understanding of local  $\rightarrow$  global
- have a better understanding of bulk  $\rightarrow$  edge
- obtained q-refinement of fusion rules
- obtained new character formulæ

### conclusions

- have better understanding of local  $\rightarrow$  global
- have a better understanding of  $bulk \rightarrow edge$
- obtained q-refinement of fusion rules
- obtained new character formulæ

#### still to do

- fermions: orbifolds?
- other groups: Kirrilov-Reshitikin modules?
- general lessons?

#### References

- N. Read, D. Green, Paired states of fermions in two dimensions with breaking of parity and time-reversal symmetries and the fractional quantum Hall effect PRB 61 (2000) 10267.
- N. Read, E. Rezayi, Beyond paired quantum Hall states: Parafermions and incompressible states in the first excited Landau level PRB 59 (1999) 8084.
- A. V. Stoyanovsky, B. L. Feigin, Functional models for representations of current algebras and semi-infinite Schubert cells, Funct. Anal. & its appl. 28 (1994) 55.

# Our work

- E. Ardonne, R. Kedem, M. Stone, *Filling the Bose sea: symmetric quantum Hall edge states and affine characters*, J. Phys. A, **38** (2005).
- E. Ardonne, R. Kedem, M. Stone, *Fermionic characters of arbitrary highest-weight integrable*  $sl_{r+1}$ *-modules*, (math.RT/0504364)
- E. Ardonne, R. Kedem, M. Stone, Fusion products, Kostka polynomials, and fermionic characters of  $su(r+1)_k$ , (math-ph/0506071)
- M. Stone, R. Roy, Edge modes, edge currents, and gauge invariance in  $p_x + ip_y$  superfluids and superconductors, Phys. Rev. B69 (2004) 184511.
- M. Stone, S-B. Chung, Fusion rules and vortices in  $p_x + ip_y$  superconductors, (cond-mat/0505515)