Cosmic ray acceleration and magnetic field amplification

Tony Bell

University of Oxford Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

SN1006: A supernova remnant 7,000 light years from Earth

X-ray (blue): NASA/CXC/Rutgers/G.Cassam-Chenai, J.Hughes et al; Radio (red): NRAO/AUI/GBT/VLA/Dyer, Maddalena & Cornwell; Optical (yellow/orange): Middlebury College/F.Winkler. NOAO/AURA/NSF/CTIO Schmidt & DSS



Purely growing, strong non-linear growth

Dispersion relation



Dispersion relation



Relation to Weibel instability

Three-fluid equations contain Weibel & non-resonant instabilities

CR momentum $\frac{dp_{CR}}{dt} = -ev_{CR} \times B - eE$ Thermal electron momentum $m_e \frac{dv_e}{dt} = -ev_e \times B - eE$ Thermal ion momentum $m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt} = ev_i \times B + eE$ Maxwell $\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times E$ $\nabla \times B = \mu_0 \{j_{CR} + j_i + j_e\}$

Three-fluid equations contain Weibel & non-resonant instabilities



Three-fluid equations contain Weibel & non-resonant instabilities



Non-resonant instability: fixed j_{CR}

(unmagnetised CR, magnetised ions & e)

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\omega_{ci} \ kv_{drift}} \qquad \gamma_{max} = kv_{drift} = \omega_{ci}$$

Weibel instability: fixed ions $v_i = 0$ (partially magnetised CR & e)
 $\gamma = \frac{kv_{drift}}{\sqrt{1 + k^2 c^2 / \omega_p^2}}$

Instabilities both driven by drift, characterised by different magnetisation

Structure of turbulence

self-consistent CR/MHD



Kinetic a Laser-plasma o Simulation

Hybrid kinetic/MHD

Kinetic COSMIC RAYS: Expand velocity distⁿ in spherical harmonics

$$f(x,y,\underline{p},\theta,\phi,t) = \sum f_{nm}(x,y,p,t) P_n^{|m|}(\cos\theta) e^{im\phi}$$

momentum coordinates in 3D

CR/MHD interaction: non-relativistic shock

self-consistent CR/MHD



2D in space, 3D in momentum, 1 component of B (<u>out of plane</u>)
Ino instability

Perpendicular shock: $B \sim 250 \ \mu G$ Larmor radius: $1.3 \times 10^{13} m$ Disordered magnetic field on scale of few x $10^{13} m$ (changes direction) Downstream CR pressure 40% of total











Same except for smaller B ($100\mu G$ – larger structures)

Non-diffusive behaviour

escape or sweep-out either can steepen spectrum

Non-diffusive behaviour

Escape upstream through cavities



Allows some CR escape SNR without adiabatic loss



Non-diffusive behaviour

В

CR



Locally perpendicular shocks Magnetic walls/mirrors shock

CR loss downstream Steepens spectrum

Cosmic Ray spectrum arriving at earth Nagano & Watson 2000



Leakage from galaxy accounts for some of difference

but not all: escape too rapid at high E (Hillas 2005)

Spectral steepening due to 'sweep-out' events (mirrors, perpendicular field)



Spectral steepening due to 'sweep-out' events (mirrors, perpendicular field)



Historical SNR (Glushak 1985)

Cas A, Kepler, Tycho, SN1006, RCW86, RCW103, G319.7, 3C391, 0519-69.0

- SN1993J: α = 0.81 (Weiler et al 2007)
- **X** SN1987A: $\alpha = 0.9$, flattening to 0.8 (Manchester et al 2005)
- + G1.9+0.3: α = 0.62 (Green et al 2008)



Young SNR: spectral index vs magnetic field



Expect more mirroring in strongly amplified field

Possibility: strong field amplification produces steep spectrum

Allows straight power law spectrum in CR-dominated shock

Similar steepening in extragal. radio lobes - confused by synchrotron steepening

Magnetic field saturation

Saturation magnetic field

For unstable growth:

A) Driving force exceeds magnetic tension

$$j_{CR} \times B > \left(\frac{\nabla \times B}{\mu_0}\right) \times B \approx \frac{B^2}{\mu_0 l}$$

B) Instability scalelength < CR Larmor radius

 $l < \frac{p}{eB}$

Eliminate *l*

Allow 2x compression of *B* at shock

$$\frac{B_{downstream}^2}{2\mu_0} \approx \eta \frac{u}{c} \rho u^2$$

In real numbers

$$B_{downstream} \approx 400 \left(\frac{u}{10^4 \,\mathrm{kms}^{-1}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{n_e}{\mathrm{cm}^{-3}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\eta}{0.1}\right)^{1/2} \mu \mathrm{G}$$

Inferred downstream magnetic field (Vink 2008)



Shocks in radio jets Centaurus A (Croston et al 2008)



Values taken by Croston et al: $n_e = 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $u = 2600 \text{ kms}^{-1}$

Shell thickness $\Delta R = 300$ pc Shell radius R = 2000 pc

Shock thickness: $B \sim 1 \,\mu\text{G}$



$$B \approx 400 \left(\frac{u}{10^4 \,\mathrm{kms}^{-1}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{n_e}{\mathrm{cm}^{-3}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\eta}{0.1}\right)^{1/2} \mu \mathrm{G} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad B \sim 1.7 \,\mu\mathrm{G}$$

Summary

Dispersion relation derived from fluid model lons must be magnetised (Hall current) Differs from Weibel by degree of magnetisation Walls/cavities: diffusive shock acceleration is not diffusive Non-diffusion can change spectral index Observe field structure directly Encouraged by success of saturation estimate Application to extragalactic shocks

Diffusive shock acceleration may not be diffusive