Cosmological searches for dark matter-baryon interactions



Vera Gluscevic

Eric Schmidt Fellow, Institute for Advanced Study

Image credit: Budassi

KITP 2018

Caveats of low-energy searches

- Assumptions about <u>local</u> astrophysics of DM. [cf. talk by Nassim]
- Limited ability to pin down nature of the interaction. [see arXiv 1506.04454]
- > DD has a ceiling.
- Masses << GeV are still poorly explored.</p>
- Vast sub-GeV lanscape!



Emken and Kouvaris, 2017

Cosmic microwave background [CMB]



Angular scale 0.2° 90° 18° 0.1° 0.07° 0.05° 10 10⁴ Planck ACT 10³ SPT ACTPol $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}[\mu K^2]$ 10^{1} 10⁰ 2 10 30 1000 2000 3000 4000 Multipole moment, ℓ

Acoustic oscillations



Plot by E. Calabrese (for ACTPol)

CMB on Dark Matter

DM = gravitating fluid (old and uninteresting).



With dark matter-proton scattering:



scattering \rightarrow drag force \rightarrow suppression of small scales



With dark matter-proton scattering:



VG and Boddy (2017), Boddy and VG (2018); Previous work: Chen et al (2002), Sigurdson et al (2004); Dvorkin et al (2014); et

Scattering in the early universe

Momentum transfer between baryon-photon fluid and DM

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta_{\chi}} &= -\theta_{\chi} - \frac{\dot{h}}{2}, \qquad \dot{\delta_{b}} = -\theta_{b} - \frac{\dot{h}}{2}, \\ \dot{\theta_{\chi}} &= -\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\theta_{\chi} + c_{\chi}^{2}k^{2}\delta_{\chi} + \frac{R_{\chi}(\theta_{b} - \theta_{\chi})}{\rho_{b}}, \\ \dot{\theta_{b}} &= -\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\theta_{b} + c_{b}^{2}k^{2}\delta_{b} + R_{\gamma}(\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{b}) + \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{\rho_{b}}R_{\chi}(\theta_{\chi} - \theta_{b}) \end{split}$$

Gluscevic and Boddy (2017), Boddy and Gluscevic (2018), Chen et al (2002), Sigurdson et al (2004); Dvorkin et al (2014); etc.

$$R_{\chi} = \frac{a c_n \rho_b \sigma_0}{m_{\chi} + m_H} \left(\frac{T_b}{m_H} + \frac{T_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} F_{He}$$

Data



Plot by E. Calabrese [for ACTPol]



Cosmological exclusion curves

v-independent DM scattering with proton: 95% confidence upper limit



High cross sections, down to mass ~keV!

Non-relativistic EFT

[Fan et al, 2010; Fitzpatrick et al, 2012; Anand et al, 2013]

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_1 &= \mathbf{1}_{\chi} \mathbf{1}_N \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &= \vec{S}_N \cdot \left(\frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N} \times \vec{v}^{\perp}\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &= \vec{S}_{\chi} \times \vec{S}_N \\ \mathcal{O}_5 &= \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N} \times \vec{v}^{\perp}\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_6 &= -\left(\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_7 &= \vec{S}_N \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{O}_8 = \vec{S}_{\gamma} \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp}$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{11} &= \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N} \\ \mathcal{O}_{12} &= \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\vec{S}_N \times \vec{v}^{\perp}\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{13} &= \left(\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp}\right) \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{14} &= \left(\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp}\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{15} &= \left(\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \left[\left(\vec{S}_N \times \vec{v}^{\perp}\right) \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m_N}\right] \end{aligned}$

 $\mathcal{O}_9 = \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\vec{S}_N \times \frac{i \vec{q}}{m_N} \right)$

 $\mathcal{O}_{10} = \vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{i\vec{q}}{m}$

Momentum transfer

♦ Each operator -> cross section with a different dependence on relative particle velocity, different thermal history:



CMB observables

♦ Each operator -> cross section with a different dependence on relative particle velocity, different thermal history:



Cosmological constraint on DM-baryon EFT



Boddy and VG (2018)

Other scattering scenarios



Dvorkin+ (2014); Xu+ (2018); Slatyer+ (2018)

Late-time: n <-2

Early-time: n≥-2



Late-time scattering: relative bulk velocity

Tseliakhovitch and Hirata (2010)

Problem: non-linear equations

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta_{\chi}} &= -\theta_{\chi} - \frac{\dot{h}}{2}, \qquad \dot{\delta_{b}} = -\theta_{b} - \frac{\dot{h}}{2}, \\ \dot{\theta_{\chi}} &= -\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\theta_{\chi} + c_{\chi}^{2}k^{2}\delta_{\chi} + R_{\chi}\left(\theta_{b} - \theta_{\chi}\right), \\ \dot{\theta_{b}} &= -\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\theta_{b} + c_{b}^{2}k^{2}\delta_{b} + R_{\gamma}\left(\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{b}\right) + \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{\rho_{b}}R_{\chi}\left(\theta_{\chi} - \theta_{b}\right) \end{split}$$

$$R_{\chi} = \frac{a c_{n} \rho_{b} \sigma_{0}}{m_{\chi} + m_{H}} \left(\frac{T_{b}}{m_{H}} + \frac{T_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} F_{He} \quad \text{Only for Vbulk << Vthermal}$$

Late-time scattering: relative bulk velocity

Problem: non-linear equations Solution: use a proxy for Vbulk

$$V_{\rm RMS}^2(k,z) \equiv \int_k^\infty \frac{dk'}{k'} \Delta_\zeta^2(k') \left[\frac{\theta_b(k',z) - \theta_\chi(k',z)}{k'}\right]^2$$



Boddy, VG, Poulin, + (coming up)

v⁻⁴ scattering: Planck limits



Boddy, VG, Poulin, + (coming up)

What about EDGES?

[cf. Jordan Mirocha's talk]



Order of business: Is it in the sky? Is it cosmological? Is it DM?

EDGES: v⁻⁴ and millicharge

From CMB limits on momentum-transfer: EDGES cannot be 1% of millicharged DM, but could be 100% with some other v^{-4} interaction.



Boddy, VG, Poulin, + (coming up)

Fractional DM with v⁻⁴ scattering

Take-home: EDGES with millicharged component at < 0.5% of DM is allowed.



Kovetz, Poulin, VG, Boddy (coming up) See also: Dolgov+ (2013)

What's coming?

Data

Atacama Cosmology Telescope [ACT]



Louis et al 2016

The Simons Observatory

- A five year \$45M+ program to advance technology and infrastructure in preparation for CMB-S4.
- Will eventually lead to the merging of the ACT and POLARBEAR/Simons Array projects.

ALMA

- Tentative plans include:
- Major site infrastructure
- New telescopes with space for more future telescopes.
- CMB-S4 class receivers with partially filled focal planes.

POLARBEAR/SIMONS

ACI

Forecasts



Gain 2 orders of magnitude with CMB-Stage 4.

Zack Li (Princeton)



Distinguishability?



DM-baryon scattering does **NOT** look like neutrino mass, DM annihilations, Neff, nor LCDM parameters.

Zack Li (Princeton)



What's coming?

Analysis

Work in progress

(with K. Boddy, Z. Li, M. Madhavacheril, the ACTPol collaboration)

- Cross-correlation with large-scale structure.
- Scattering with electrons (better sensitivity to lower mass).
- Specific well-motivated models.
- Ultimate goal: combine analyses of experimental and observational data, find and confirm the signal, robustly test DM physics.

Yes to putting it all on the same plot!

[c.f. talks by Rouven and Jocelyn]

Future



Timeslices by E. Kovetz

Summary



 CMB and cosmology probe vast parameter space (sub-GeV mass and large cross sections).



Abundance of new data on the horizon:
CMB, galaxy surveys, 21-cm experiments, direct detection, LHC, fixed targets, +



 Synthesizing information is important to guide searches and will be essential in discovery era.