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Population oscillates (Rabi oscillations), energy saturates

Infinite system: the case of small perturbation


$$
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} 0+\mathrm{V} \cos (\omega \mathrm{t})
$$

$\mathrm{V} \ll \delta$
Probability to fall in resonance $(\mathrm{V} / \delta)$
Number of allowed active initial levels $(\omega / \delta)$
Saturation energy of a resonant pair $\hbar \omega$

For $t>t^{*}=\hbar / \delta$ the total energy of an infinite system saturates at

$$
E^{\star} \sim V(\omega / \delta)^{2}
$$

Wilkinson, Austin, 1992


## Dynamic localization



How general is this effect? How to describe analytically?

## Kicked rotor:

$\hat{H}(t)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+V(\theta) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t-n T)$
$\psi_{m}^{(0)}(\theta)=e^{i m \theta}, \quad E_{m}^{(0)}=m^{2}$


$$
\mathrm{V}(\theta)=\cos (\theta) \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{V}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}, \mathrm{m}+1}+\delta_{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}, \mathrm{m}-1}\right)
$$

only neighboring states are connected by perturbation

$$
f(t) \propto \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(t-n T)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \cos (\omega n t)
$$

all harmonics have the same amplitude
Can the results on dynamic localization in this system be extended to a generic chaotic system (random matrix)???

No analytic results for $\Gamma \gg \delta$

Perturbation by periodic $\delta$-function (kicks) $\quad H=H_{0}+V f(t)$;
All sites are directly connected $f(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(t-2 \pi n / \omega)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \cos (\omega n t)$


Kicked random matrix : $\quad\left\langle V_{l l^{\prime}}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=\operatorname{const}$
NO DYNAMIC
LOCALIZATION
$\downarrow$
All orbitals are connected: resonance between remore orbitals on arbitrary remote sites is possible

## Random matrix with almost harmonic perturbation

$$
H=H_{\text {GOE GOE }}+V f(t) ; \quad f(t)=\sum_{n} A_{n} \cos \left(\omega_{n} t+\varphi_{n}\right)
$$

Few harmonics are relevant: $\quad A_{n}<\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}}$

$\omega_{i \prime}^{\prime \prime}$


Few sites are connected

## DYNAMIC LOCALIZATION IS POSSIBLE



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\substack{\text { X.B.Wang, } \\
\text { V.E.K.,.2001 }} & \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(-\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \\
& f(t)=\sum_{n} A_{n} \cos \left(n \omega t+\varphi_{n}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Average dephasing rate $\gamma_{c}$ versus time:


Quasi-1d orthogonal: $\delta W \sim-\left(t / t^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}$


Quasi-1d unitary: $\delta W \sim$ - ( $\left.\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{t}^{\star}\right)$

Monochromatic perturbation: $T$-symmetry always a very special case

## Incommensurate periods

$$
A_{n}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{n} t+\varphi_{n}\right)
$$

dephasing rate:


Number theory game seen in mesoscopic physics X.B.Wang, V.E.K. 2001

Almost-no-dephasing points contribute:

$$
W(t)-W_{0} \sim-\omega^{2} \int_{1 / \Gamma}^{t} \frac{\Gamma d t_{1}}{\sqrt{\left(\Gamma t_{1}\right)^{d}}}
$$

d-dimensional weak Anderson localization Basko,Skvortsov, VEK, 2003; Numerics for kicked rotor: Casati, Guarneri,
Shepelyanskii, 1989

## A glance at the reality

GaAs dot:

- size $L \sim 1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- mean level spacing $\delta \sim 1 \mu \mathrm{eV}$
- Thouless energy $E_{T h} \sim 100-1000 \mu \mathrm{eV}$
- dephasing time $t_{\varphi} \sim 1-10 \mathrm{~ns}$

Microwave field:

- $\quad V \sim$ several $\mu \mathrm{eV}$ (field $\sim$ several $100 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$ )
- $\hbar \omega \sim 10-100 \mu \mathrm{eV}\left(\sim 10^{10} \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$

Dynamic localization:

- $t_{\text {loc }} \sim 10 \mathrm{~ns}, E_{\text {loc }} \sim \sqrt{D t_{\text {loc }}} \sim 100-1000 \mu \mathrm{eV} \sim 1-10 \mathrm{~K}$


## Conclusions

- A quantum-mechanical system under a timedependent perturbation may be subject to dynamic localization in energy space.
- It depends both on the model for the unperturbed system and the perturbation.
- For a chaotic system described by RMT the character of dynamic localization depends entirely on the time dependence of perturbation.
- For a periodic $\delta$-function perturbation there is NO dynamic localization in RMT.


## Conclusions

- For a periodic perturbation with few harmonics weak dynamic localization is similar to quasi-1d Anderson localization of orthogonal or unitary symmetry class depending on the symmetry of time dependence with respect to $t \rightarrow-t$ (up to an arbitrary shift in time)
- For d incommensurate harmonics weak dynamic localization is similar to the Anderson localization in a d-dimensional system.
- Dynamic localization seems to be observable in quantum dots under ac excitation (this is another story)


## What everybody knows...



- $\hat{H}=\hat{H}_{0}+\hat{V} \cos \omega t$
- (Quasi)continuous spectrum
- Absorption and emission of quanta $\hbar \omega$ random walk up and down
- Diffusive evolution of the electron distribution function


## What some people know...

Kicked rotor:
$\hat{H}(t)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+V(\theta) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t-n T)$
$\psi_{m}^{(0)}(\theta)=e^{i m \theta}, \quad E_{m}^{(0)}=m^{2}$


Dynamic localization in the energy space:
after some time the rotor stops absorbing

(G. Casati, B. V. Chirikov, J. Ford, and F. M. Izrailev, 1979)

## Historical developments

1. Quantum interference - analogous to the Anderson Iocalization (Fishman, Grempel, and Prange, 1982)
2. Incommensurate periods $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ - 3D localization (Casati, Guarneri, Shepelyansky, 1989)
3. Particle in a box: just $\psi(0)=\psi(2 \pi)=0$ instead of the periodic $\psi(0)=\psi(2 \pi)-$ no localization (Hu, Li, Liu, Gu, 1999)
4. Mapping to a quasi-1d $\sigma$-model (Altland, Zirnbauer, 1996)

What do these observations mean and how general are they?

## Spatial localization

Quantum correction to the diffusion coefficient of electrons in disorder
mean free path


Change variables $D_{0} k^{2}=1 / t$ :
$D-D_{0} \sim-\frac{1}{v} \int_{\tau}^{t_{0}} \frac{D_{0} d t}{\left(D_{0} t\right)^{d / 2}}$

Localization: $d=1: \quad L_{l o c} \sim v D_{0} \sim l$
$d=2$ : $\quad L_{\text {loc }} \sim l \exp \left(V D_{0}\right) \quad(?)$
$d \geq 3$ : no localization in weak disorder

## Chaotic systems

Ballistic systems:

$\tau_{\text {erg }}=L / v_{F} \quad$ ergodic time $\quad \tau_{\text {erg }}=L^{2} / D$

RMT is valid at low energies:

$$
E \ll E_{T h}=\hbar / \tau_{\text {erg }} \quad \text { (Thouless energy) }
$$

## Random matrix theory

$\hat{H}(t)=\hat{H}_{0}+\hat{V} \phi(t) \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { real symmetric } \\ N \times N \text { Gaussian }\end{array}$ $\uparrow$ random matrices with statistically independent elements


In the end let $N \rightarrow \infty$

## Technicalities



## Zero order (diffusion)

$\Gamma \equiv\left\langle V_{l l^{\prime}}^{2}\right\rangle / \delta$ - one photon absorption rate (measure of perturbation strength)

Long-time, period-averaged dynamics:

$$
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-D \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial E^{2}}\right] f(E, t)=0 \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { time-dependent } \\
& \text { electron distribution } \\
& \text { (Wigner variables) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$D=\overline{\Gamma(d \phi / d t)^{2}}-$ energy diffusion coefficient

$$
W_{0} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int E f(E, t) d E=\frac{D}{\delta}-\underset{\text { absorgti }}{\text { absit }}
$$

## One-loop correction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(t)=\frac{D}{\delta}+\frac{\Gamma}{\pi} \int_{0}^{t} \dot{\phi}(t) \dot{\phi}(t-\tau) C_{t-\tau / 2}(\tau,-\tau) d \tau \\
& \underbrace{}_{\text {large }} \overbrace{\text { small (?) correction }} \\
& \text { zero-order }
\end{aligned}
$$

Cooperon keeps track of the quantum interference:
$C_{t}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \equiv \theta\left(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \exp [-\int_{\tau_{2}} \frac{\Gamma}{2} \underbrace{[\phi(t+\tau / 2)-\phi(t-\tau / 2)]^{2}} d \tau]$
dephasing rate

## Periodic perturbation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \cos \left(n \omega t-\varphi_{n}\right) \quad W_{0}=\frac{\Gamma \omega^{2}}{2 \delta} \sum_{n} n^{2} A_{n}^{2} \\
C_{t}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \approx \exp \left[-\Gamma\left(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(n \omega t-\varphi_{n}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\varphi_{n}=n \varphi$ the exponent can vanish at $t_{k}=\frac{\varphi+k \pi}{\omega}$
No-dephasing points give a large negative contribution to the integral:

$$
W(t)-W_{0} \sim-\omega^{2} \sqrt{\Gamma t}
$$

## Time-reversal symmetry <br> $\varphi_{n}=n \varphi \Leftrightarrow \phi\left(t-t_{0}\right)=\phi\left(-t-t_{0}\right)$

Average dephasing rate versus time:

$T$-symmetry: yes

$T$-symmetry: no

Monochromatic perturbation: T-symmetry always a very special case

## Two loops

There is a contribution from diffusons:
$D_{\tau}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \equiv \theta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \exp \left[-\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} \Gamma[\phi(t+\tau / 2)-\phi(t-\tau / 2)]^{2} d t\right]$
For a periodic perturbation:
$D_{\tau}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \approx \exp \left[-2 \Gamma\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{2} \sin ^{2} n \omega \tau\right]$
No-dephasing points are always present, regardless of the time-reversal symmetry...

$$
W(t)-W_{0}=-\frac{\omega^{2} \delta}{24 \pi^{2}} t
$$

## Incommensurate periods

$$
f(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{d} A_{n} \cos \left(\omega_{n} t-\varphi_{n}\right) \quad \gamma_{i}=\sum_{n} \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{n} t+\varphi_{n}\right) A_{n}^{2}
$$

dephasing rate:


Phase relationships do not matter that much

Almost-no-dephasing points contribute:

$$
W(t)-W_{0} \sim-\omega^{2} \int_{1 / \Gamma}^{t} \frac{\Gamma d t_{1}}{\sqrt{\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)^{d}}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { A-dimensional weak } \\
& \text { Anderson localization }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conclusions...

1. A quantum-mechanical system under a timedependent perturbation may be subject to dynamic localization in energy space.
2. It depends both on the model for the unperturbed system and the perturbation.
3. We have studied one-loop correction to the usual Fermi-Golden-Rule dissipation rate for a chaotic system described by RMT

## ...conclusions

4. For a perturbation with $d$ incommensurate frequencies the correction can grow arbitrarily with time if $d=1,2$ (analogously to spatial localization in $d$-dimensional disorder)
5. For commensurate frequencies phase relationships matter:
6. Time-reversal symmetry: the "dimensionality" is effectively lowered
7. No time-reversal: the correction is small

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A stationary analogy }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Take the original levels $E_{l}$ of $\hat{H}_{0}$
- Replicate them into a lattice with a shift $E_{l, s}=E_{l}-s \hbar \omega$
- Couple neighboring sites with $\hat{V}$


## Why RMT is not KQR

- Quantum rotor: $\psi_{l}=e^{i \theta}, V(\theta)=\cos \theta$, $V_{l^{\prime}} \propto \delta_{l, l \pm 1}-$ out of resonance $V(t) \propto \delta(t-n T)$ - all Fourier harmonics $V^{(s-s)}$
- Particle in a box: $\psi_{l}=\sin l \theta, V(\theta) \propto \cos \theta$, $V_{l l^{\prime}} \propto 1 /\left|l-l^{\prime}\right|-$ long-range
- Random matrix: $V_{l l^{\prime}} \propto$ const but we want few Fourier harmonics $V^{\left(s-s^{\prime}\right)}$


## Spatial localization

Quantum correction to the diffusion coefficient of electrons in disorder

$d=1: \quad L_{\text {loc }} \sim v D_{0} \sim l$
$d=2: \quad L_{\text {loc }} \sim l \exp \left(v D_{0}\right)$
$d \geq 3$ : no localization in weak disorder

