Growth, competition, and cooperation in spatial population genetics

Simone Pigolotti KITP, 24/01/2013

Competition



growth of a colony of two neutral E.Coli strains

Hallatscheck and Nelson (2007)

Competition in the ocean



growth of a colony of two neutral E.Coli strains



plankton bloom in the Barents sea

Hallatscheck and Nelson (2007) Tel et al. (2005)

Coastal competition and transport



Invasion of a neutral variant of green crab along the eastern north american coast Transport of larvae from currents (rather than fitness) determines invasion

Phytoplankton types



- A: total clorophyll density
- B: phytoplankton types
- C: average flow
- D: sea surface height

Logistic growth

$$\frac{d}{dt}c = ac - bc^2$$

- exponential growth at small density
- saturation at higher density (finite resources)

interpretation: growth of a population OR spread in a population of an advantageous mutation



from J. Maynard Smith, "Evolutionary Genetics", 1998 A comparison of the growth of yeast in a culture with logistic growth (from Allee *et al.* 1949).

It is now easy to see that, if K_1 and K_2 are different, one kind will eliminate the other. Thus suppose that $K_1 > K_2$. Then x will increase until $x + y = K_1$. At this point, $x + y > K_2$, and hence dy/dt is negative. Thus

Thursday, January 31, 2013 ease: in fact, y decreases to zero, so that x selectively eliminatesy.

Fisher equation

$$\partial_t c = D\partial_x^2 c + sc(1-c)$$

Spread of a population (or advantageous mutation) in space

Fisher (1937)

Fisher equation

$$\partial_t c = D\partial_x^2 c + sc(1-c)$$

Spread of a population (or advantageous mutation) in space



Basic result: propagating front of velocity

$$v = \sqrt{2Ds}$$

Fisher (1937)

Stochasticity and the stepping stone model



continuum limit: stochastic Fisher equation $\partial_t c = D \partial_x^2 c + \mu c (1-c) + \sqrt{2c(1-c)/N} \xi$

where:

c(x,t) = fraction of one of the two species $\mu = selective advantage$ N = local population sizeD = diffusion constant

Kimura et al (1964)

Two different fixation mechanisms





Fisher wave with turbulence

Overshooting the carrying capacity



$$\partial_t c = -\partial_x [v(x)c] + D\partial_x^2 c + \mu c(1-c) + \sqrt{2c(1-c)/N}\xi$$

Problem: c>1 leads to imaginary noise

Particle model



- individuals are advected and diffuse in space (Lagrangian description)

- reaction are implemented like in stochastic chemical kinetics

SP, Benzi, Jensen, Nelson (2012)



Eqs. for the densities



$$\sigma_i^2 = \frac{\mu_i c_i (1 + \lambda_{iA} c_A + \lambda_{iB} c_B)}{N}$$

noise is well defined also when c>1

Example: neutral, no flow

- coarsening dynamics, fixation time is determined by diffusion



neutral dynamics:

$$\partial_t c_A(x,t) = D\nabla^2 c_A + \mu c_A(1 - c_A - c_B) + \sigma_A \xi(x,t)$$

$$\partial_t c_B(x,t) = D\nabla^2 c_B + \mu c_B(1 - c_A - c_B) + \sigma_B \xi'(x,t)$$



stochastic Fisher equation is recovered for the relative fraction $f=c_A/(c_A+c_B)$ - quantitative agreement in absence of flows



Fixation probability

$$p_{fix} = 1 - \exp\left[-sN\int dx \ f(x,t=0)\right]$$

(indipendent of spatial diffusion)

SP, Benzi, Perlekar, Jensen, Toschi, Nelson (2013)

Mutualism

- reduced competition between alleles

$$\frac{d}{dt}c_A = \mu c_A(1 - c_A - c_B) + \epsilon_A c_A c_B + \text{noise}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}c_B = \mu c_B(1 - c_A - c_B) + \epsilon_B c_A c_B + \text{noise}$$

mean field: exponentially long fixation times



Mutualism - 1d

 $\partial_t c_A(x,t) = D\nabla^2 c_A + \mu c_A(1 - c_A - c_B) + \epsilon_A c_A c_B + \sigma_A \xi(x,t)$ $\partial_t c_B(x,t) = D\nabla^2 c_B + \mu c_B(1 - c_A - c_B) + \epsilon_B c_A c_B + \sigma_B \xi'(x,t)$



Mutualism - 1d

$$\partial_t c_A(x,t) = D\nabla^2 c_A + \mu c_A (1 - c_A - c_B) + \epsilon_A c_A c_B + \sigma_A \xi(x,t)$$

$$\partial_t c_B(x,t) = D\nabla^2 c_B + \mu c_B (1 - c_A - c_B) + \epsilon_B c_A c_B + \sigma_B \xi'(x,t)$$



Korolev and Nelson (2011) SP, Benzi, Perlekar, Jensen, Toschi, Nelson (2013)

Flows: linear velocity field



v(x)= -k x
$$k = 0.075, D = 2 \ 10^{-4}, \mu = 1$$

Dynamics of boundaries



Linear flow + reproductive advantage





Sine wave



always very short fixation time (never odd number of boundaries)

Fixation time



 $\tau_f = \tau_0 + c/k$

if boundary collapse exponentially, then:

$$\tau_f = \tau_0 + c/k$$

k = average gradient close to the sink

Fixation time



if boundary collapse exponentially, then:

$$\tau_f = \tau_0 + c/k$$

k = average gradient close to the sink



2D dynamics



density of interfaces scales as:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \qquad 1D$$
$$\frac{1}{\log(t)} \qquad 2D$$

-> fixation is a very slow process

2D - steady flow





no compressibility





Thursday, January 31, 2013

2D + compressible flow



2D "slice" of 3D Navier-Stokes.

Diffusion determines an advantage



- when two species expand into open space, advantage can be estimated by looking at the difference of Fisher wave speeds

- what happens if they are mixed?



SP and R. Benzi , in preparation





equation for the relative fraction $f=c_A/(c_A+c_B)$

$$\begin{split} \partial_t f &= \nabla^2 f + \delta D(1-f) \nabla^2 f + \sqrt{\frac{2\mu f(1-f)}{N}} \xi \\ &\text{scaling in N from perturbation theory} \\ &< f(t) > \approx N \ \delta D \ g[t/(DN^2), D] \longrightarrow \end{split} \\ \end{split}$$

Thursday, January 31, 2013

Conclusions

- flows can radically change the outcome of competition

- relaxing the assumption of constant total density leads to interesting effects also in the absence of flows