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## Our Ink

Cellulose Nanofibrils + Acrylamide Monomers + Clay = Composite Ink
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## Our Ink
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Elastic Anisotropy leads to swelling anisotropy

## Encoding Local Anisotropy
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## Bi-Metallic Strips

## I. Equilibrium Condition

"Due to the fact that there are not external forces acting on the strip, all forces acting over any crosssection of the strip must be in equilibrium"

Stress from

$$
\sigma=\frac{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{eff}}}{h}
$$

ANALYSIS OF BI-METAL THERMOSTATS
By S. Timoshenko


## Bi-Metallic Strips

## I. Equilibrium Condition

"Due to the fact that there are not external forces acting on the strip, all forces acting over any crosssection of the strip must be in equilibrium"

Stress from
fictitious force

$$
\sigma=\frac{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{eff}}}{h}
$$

Bending Moment from stress

$$
M^{\mathrm{tot}}=\int z \sigma d z=\frac{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{eff}} h}{2}
$$

ANALYSIS OF BI-METAL THERMOSTATS
By S. Timoshenko


## Bi-Metallic Strips

## I. Equilibrium Condition

"Due to the fact that there are not external forces acting on the strip, all forces acting over any crosssection of the strip must be in equilibrium"

Stress from
fictitious force

$$
\sigma=\frac{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{eff}}}{h}
$$

Bending Moment from stress

$$
M^{\mathrm{tot}}=\int z \sigma d z=\frac{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{eff}} h}{2}
$$

ANALYSIS OF BI-METAL THERMOSTATS
By S. Timoshenko


$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\text {tot }} & =\int_{-a_{2}}^{a_{1}} z \mathrm{E}(z) \varepsilon d z \\
& =\kappa \mathrm{E}_{1} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} z^{2} d z+\kappa \mathrm{E}_{2} \int_{-a_{2}}^{0} z^{2} d z \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{3}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} a_{1}^{3}+\mathrm{E}_{2} a_{2}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Moment-stress relationship

$$
\sigma^{\mathrm{tot}}=\frac{M^{\mathrm{tot}}}{2 h}
$$

## Bi-Metallic Strips

## 2. Compatibility Condition

"On the bearing surface of both metals the unit elongation occurring in the longitudinal fibres of metals (I) and (2) must be equal.'

$$
\varepsilon^{(1)}=\varepsilon^{(2)}
$$

Strain from swelling $\quad \varepsilon^{s}=\alpha$
Strain from curvature $\quad \varepsilon^{\mathrm{s}}=z \kappa$
Strain from stress $\quad \varepsilon=\mathrm{E}^{-1} \sigma^{\text {tot }}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}} \frac{M^{\text {tot }}}{2 h}$
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Fig. 1. Deflection of a bi-metal strip while uniformly heated.

$$
\frac{1}{a_{1}} \int_{0}^{a_{1}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{s}(1)}+\varepsilon^{\mathrm{e}(1)}(z)+\frac{\sigma^{\text {tot }}}{\mathrm{E}_{1}}\right) d z=\frac{1}{a_{2}} \int_{-a_{2}}^{0}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{s}(2)}+\varepsilon^{\mathrm{e}(2)}(z)+\frac{\sigma^{\text {tot }}}{\mathrm{E}_{2}}\right) d z
$$
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Fig. 1. Deflection of a bi-metal strip while uniformly heated.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{a_{1}} \int_{0}^{a_{1}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{s}(1)}+\varepsilon^{\mathrm{e}(1)}(z)+\frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\mathrm{E}_{1}}\right) d z=\frac{1}{a_{2}} \int_{-a_{2}}^{0}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{s}(2)}+\varepsilon^{\mathrm{e}(2)}(z)+\frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\mathrm{E}_{2}}\right) d z \\
\alpha_{1}+\frac{a_{1} \kappa}{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}_{1} a_{1}} \frac{M^{\mathrm{tot}}}{2 h}=\alpha_{2}-\frac{a_{2} \kappa}{2}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}_{2} a_{2}} \frac{M^{\mathrm{tot}}}{2 h}, \quad M^{\mathrm{tot}}=\frac{\kappa}{3}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} a_{1}^{3}+\mathrm{E}_{2} a_{2}^{3}\right) \\
\kappa=\frac{6\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)(1+m)^{2}}{h\left(3(1+m)^{2}+(1+m n)\left(m^{2}+\frac{1}{m n}\right)\right)}, \quad m=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}, n=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{1}}{\mathrm{E}_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## A Brief Primer on Curvature

$$
k_{2}=-\frac{1}{R_{2}}
$$

Mean (Extrinsic) Curvature: $\quad H=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right)$
Bending energy

$$
\mathrm{H}=0
$$

$$
H<0
$$

Gaussian (Intrinsic) Curvature: $\quad K=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}$ Stretching energy

$$
K<0
$$

$$
K>0
$$



## A Geometric Model

$$
g(x, y)=R[\theta(x, y)]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{\|} & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{\perp}
\end{array}\right] R^{T}[\theta(x, y)]
$$

Gauss's Theorema Egregium
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

## CURVED SURFACES

$B Y$
KARL FRIEDRICH GAUSS
PRESENTED TO THE ROYAL SOCIETY, OCTOBER 8, 1827
Thus the formula of the preceding article leads of itself to the remarkable
Theorem. If a curved surface is developed upon any other surface whatever, the measure of curvature in each point remains unchanged.

$$
K(x, y)=K\left(g, \partial_{x} g, \partial_{y} g, \partial_{x x} g, \partial_{x y} g, \partial_{y y} g\right)
$$
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## Thus the formula of the preceding article leads of itself to the remarkable <br> Theorem. If a curved surface is developed upon any other surface whatever, the measure of curvature in each point remains unchanged.

$$
K(x, y)=K\left(g, \partial_{x} g, \partial_{y} g, \partial_{x x} g, \partial_{x y} g, \partial_{y y} g\right)
$$

$$
K(x, y)=\left(\alpha_{\|}-\alpha_{\perp}\right)\left[\frac{\left(\phi^{2}-1\right) \phi_{x y}-\phi\left(\phi_{x x}-\phi_{v v}\right)}{\left(\phi^{2}+1\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left(3 \phi^{2}-1\right)\left(\phi_{x}^{2}-\phi_{y}^{2}\right)-2 \phi\left(\phi^{2}-3\right) \phi_{x} \phi_{y}}{\left(\phi^{2}+1\right)^{3}}\right]
$$

## The Model

## Theory of Anisotropic Plates and Shells

Curvature in Monge Gauge $\kappa_{i j}=\partial_{i} \partial_{j} H(x, y)$
Swelling Strain $\quad \varepsilon^{s}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\alpha_{\|} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{\perp}\end{array}\right]$
Elastic Strain $\quad \varepsilon_{i j}^{\mathrm{e}}=-z \kappa_{i j}$
Strain Tensor

$$
\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\mathrm{s}}+\varepsilon^{\mathrm{e}} \quad \varepsilon_{i j}(\theta)=R_{i m}(\theta) \varepsilon_{m n} R_{j n}^{T}(\theta)
$$

Elastic Modulus Tensor

$$
\mathrm{E}_{i j k l}(\theta)=R_{i m}(\theta) R_{k p}(\theta) \mathrm{E}_{m n p q} R_{j n}^{T}(\theta) R_{l q}^{T}(\theta)
$$

Stress-Strain Relation $\quad \sigma_{i j}=\mathrm{E}_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l}^{\mathrm{e}}$
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## The Model
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\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
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## The Model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \int_{0}^{a_{1}}\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{(1)}+\frac{\mathrm{E}_{i j k l}^{-1}}{a_{1}} M_{k l}(\theta)\right) d z=\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} \int_{-a_{2}}^{0}\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{(2)}(\theta)+\frac{\mathrm{E}_{i j k l}^{-1}(\theta)}{a_{2}} M_{k l}(\theta)\right) d z \\
& H= \\
& \quad \text { Given: } \alpha_{\|}, \alpha_{\perp}, \mathrm{E}_{i j k l}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \theta \quad \text { Solve for: } \kappa_{i j} \\
& K=-\frac{\alpha_{\|}}{c_{2}-c_{3} \cos (2 \theta)+m^{2}(\theta) \cos (4 \theta)} \quad, \quad c_{i}=c_{i}\left(\mathrm{E}^{(1)}, \mathrm{E}^{(2)}, \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{a}_{1} / \mathrm{a}_{2}\right) \\
& h^{2}
\end{aligned} \frac{c_{4} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}{c_{5}-c_{6} \cos (2 \theta)+m^{4} \cos (4 \theta)} \quad . \quad .
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## The Model

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \int_{0}^{a_{1}}\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{(1)}+\frac{\mathrm{E}_{i j k l}^{-1}}{a_{1}} M_{k l}(\theta)\right) d z=\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} \int_{-a_{2}}^{0}\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{(2)}(\theta)+\frac{\mathrm{E}_{i j k l}^{-1}(\theta)}{a_{2}} M_{k l}(\theta)\right) d z
$$

Given: $\alpha_{\|}, \alpha_{\perp}, \mathrm{E}_{i j k l}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \theta \quad$ Solve for: $\kappa_{i j}$

$$
H=\frac{\alpha_{\perp}-\alpha_{\|}}{h} \frac{c_{1} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}{c_{2}-c_{3} \cos (2 \theta)+m^{4} \cos (4 \theta)}
$$

$$
K=-\frac{\left(\alpha_{\perp}-\alpha_{\|}\right)^{2}}{h^{2}} \frac{c_{4} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}{c_{5}-c_{6} \cos (2 \theta)+m^{4} \cos (4 \theta)}
$$

$$
, \quad c_{i}=c_{i}\left(\mathrm{E}^{(1)}, \mathrm{E}^{(2)}, \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{a}_{1} / \mathrm{a}_{2}\right)
$$
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## Controlling Gaussian Curvature



$$
\theta=52^{\circ}
$$


A. S. Gladman, EAM, R. Nuzzo, L. Mahadevan, and J. Lewis, Nature Materials (advanced online publication) 2016.

## Controlling Mean Curvature

$$
\begin{aligned}
k=3 / 2\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) / h & =0.45 / h_{\mathrm{mm}^{-1}} \\
\mathrm{~h}=1.25 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \mathrm{~h}=0.75 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \mathrm{~h} & =0.5 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$


2.5 mm
$\mathrm{K}=0.36 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$

predicted
$\mathrm{K}=0.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$


$$
k=0.9 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}
$$

## Controlling Mean Curvature

$$
\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{K}}=3 / 2\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}-a_{2}\right) / \mathrm{h}=0.45 / \mathrm{hmm}
$$

$$
h-105 \mathrm{~mm} h-07 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \mathrm{~h}-05 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

$$
h=1.25 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \mathrm{~h}=0.75 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \mathrm{~h}=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}
$$


2.5 mm

predicted
$\mathrm{K}=0.36 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{K}=0.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
measured
$\mathrm{K}=0.34 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{K}=0.61 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{K}=0.85 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
A. S. Gladman, EAM, R. Nuzzo, L. Mahadevan, and J. Lewis, Nature Materials (advanced online publication) 2016.

## Controlling Mean Curvature

$$
k=3 / 2\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) / h=0.45 / \mathrm{hmm}^{-1}
$$



## To Twist or Not To Twist, That is the Question
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PNIPA undergoes a hydrophilic-hydrophobic transition at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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## The Inverse Problem
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## The Inverse Problem



## Programming Local Curvatures

$$
H=\frac{\alpha_{\perp}-\alpha_{\|}}{h} \frac{c_{1} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}{c_{2}-c_{3} \cos (2 \theta)+m^{4} \cos (4 \theta)}, \quad K=-\frac{\left(\alpha_{\perp}-\alpha_{\|}\right)^{2}}{h^{2}} \frac{c_{4} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}{c_{5}-c_{6} \cos (2 \theta)+m^{4} \cos (4 \theta)}
$$

$$
\text { Given: } H, K, \alpha_{\|}, \alpha_{\perp}, \mathrm{E}^{(1)}, \mathrm{E}^{(2)} \quad \text { Solve for: } \quad \theta, m=a_{1} / a_{2}
$$


A. S. Gladman, EAM, R. Nuzzo, L. Mahadevan, and J. Lewis, Nature Materials (advanced online publication) 2016.
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## Conclusions and Future Directions

- 3D printing hydrogel ink + cellulose nanofibrils simultaneously encodes anisotropy in swelling and elastic modulus. Complexity is free with additive manufacturing techniques.
- Local swelling anisotropy in a bilayer system generates curvature.
- Elasticity theory of anisotropic plates and shells allows us to predict mean and Gaussian curvatures.
- The inverse problem: How may we design print paths associated with specific target surfaces?
- Platform technology can be used with multi-stimuli responsive inks: light, temperature, electric field, hydration.
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[^0]:    Fig. 1. Deflection of a bi-metal strip while uniformly heated.

